

Help! How Do I Respond to My Friend's Anti-Gun Control Meme?

Karin Tamerius

 272  19

# The Internet Court of Lies



Robert Thibadeau

Oct 21 · 4 min read

## A Brief Invitation

The article about truths and lies in the debate of gun control is an important article with useful responses about this significant area of fiat lying.

There is a reason I came to the conclusion that a court is the best solution that can be done about fiat lying in the media in general.

A court is a place where enforced moderated dialogue takes place on assertions that are suspected to be lies.

A court's objective is to lay out the open, public, dialogue that presents the case of truth and lying, intention, deceit, and motives for people to better judge whether the lies are socially and politically acceptable or not.

Characteristics of this Court:

1. It only judges patterns of fiat lies, not people. People are anonymous and people are not tried. Anonymity is preserved by the court unless a participant makes himself known for any reason.
2. The result of a case is an encyclopedic entry in Wikipedia obeying all of Wikipedia's rules which is open to further dialogue within Wikipedia by Wikipedia moderators.
3. A case can be brought by anybody for a small fee, and will be adjudicated quickly by identifying an existing class-action if possible. By quickly the goal is adjudication within milliseconds with the help of AI, but a few days manually, and certainly nothing like the times required by a court of national sovereign law.

4. This is a private court run and operated independently of any other organization whether private or governmental, and on a global scale. The court does not accuse people, only suspected fiat lies where civil dialogue is otherwise infeasible for any reason.
5. The court does not make content editing decisions about fiat lies. It offers to license the records of the court adjudication to Internet content providers, such as Facebook or Google, who may or may not edit their content based or partly based on these adjudications as these content providers unilaterally decide.

I came to this conclusion of the need for an Internet Court of Lies in writing my books on a theory of human privacy and lying summarized on Amazon available on Kindle for free reading.

In the last 45 days I have put out Medium articles and responses making the case for an Internet Court of Lies to the Medium community because it is rich with great examples, and a diverse competent readership.

The two Amazon books are

<https://www.amazon.com/How-Get-Your-Privacy-Back/dp/B07DWFCDTS/>

<https://www.amazon.com/How-Get-Your-Lies-Back/dp/B07R448S2L/>

These books contain the most in-depth study of a human theory of privacy and lies and how the Internet Court of Lies works technically.

However, the Medium articles are meant to provide the basis for a training manual for moderators. Moderators (Arbitrators) will be hired and paid to handle cases.

This work on the training manual is only about 5% done at best, and I welcome the participation of others who want to participate in developing how to take suspected lies through dialogue to an encyclopedic quality dialogue on a particular class-action case.

I suspect Gun Control is one such class action case, or perhaps 10 such cases; it remains to be seen.

Potentially we could create a moderator manual as a publication on Medium but that as well remains to others, not myself.

Here is an index of some of the Medium articles that I see as the backbone of the justification for creating an Internet Court of Lies.

These are free friends links that can be distributed to anyone for free in the world. Feel free to copy this response in whole and distribute that as well. I own the copyright and hereby put this Medium material under the rules that the entire content is to be distributed, and that attribution must be preserved, but the Medium contents presented here can be freely distributed.

A summary of the scientific case for the Internet Court of Lies.

<p><b>Fiat Lies are Genocide on the Human Race</b></p> <p>The Internet Court of Lies</p> <p>medium.com</p>	
--	--

A Taxonomy of Lies for processing a lie in Court through adjudication and encyclopedia entry:

<p><b>On a Taxonomy of Lies</b></p> <p>Internet Court of Lies Adjudication</p> <p>medium.com</p>	
--	--

Paths of Deceit which is highly relevant to this article:

<p><b>Paths of Deceit</b></p> <p>The Internet Court of Lies</p> <p>medium.com</p>	
---	--

Again, I wish to thank the author of the article and the other respondents that motivated this one, for providing such a nice place to introduce The Internet Court of Lies to the Medium community. There are about 40+ other articles and responses I have written and put up on Medium meant to provide readings for possible moderators (arbitration judges) for class actions *before release* to Wikipedia entries. There will be more as I find articles on Medium and other media that motivate them.

)

[Lies](#) [Politics](#) [Argument](#) [Dialogue](#)

[About](#) [Help](#) [Legal](#)